Ch3: Configuration Space

Introduction

Configuration, DOF, and C-Space of a Robot

Configuration: A complete specification of the positions of all points of a robot/mechanism.

Since the robot's links are rigid and of a known shape/geometry, only a few numbers are needed to represent its configuration.

Configuration, DOF, and C-Space of a Robot

Degrees of Freedom (DOF): The minimum number *n* of real-valued coordinates needed to represent the **configuration** of a robot/mechanism.

Configuration Space (C-Space): The *n*-dimensional space containing all possible configurations of the robot/mechanism.

* The **configuration** of a robot is represented by a point in its **C-space**.

Degrees of Freedom (DOF)

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
0000

DOFs of a Rigid Body in 2D Space

Example: Number of DOFs of a coin on a plane

A general rule for determining the number of DOFs of rigid bodies : **DOF = (number of variables) − (number of independent equations/constraints)**

DOFs of a Rigid Body in 3D Space

Example: Number of DOFs of a coin in space

In summary, a **spatial rigid body**, has **six** degrees of freedom and a **planar rigid body** has **three** degrees of freedom.

DOFs of Robots: Typical Robot Joints

Note: Every joint connects exactly two links.

of DOFs of a joint = (# of DOFs of a rigid body) − (# of constraints provided by a joint)

DOFs of Robots: Grübler's Formula

A general rule for determining the number of DOFs of mechanisms consist of rigid bodies: **DOF = (sum of freedoms of the bodies) − (number of independent constraints)**

Grübler's Formula for the number of degrees of freedom of mechanisms/robots:

$$
dof = \underbrace{m(N-1)}_{\text{rigid body freedom}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{J} c_i}_{\text{joint constraints}}
$$

=
$$
m(N-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{J} (m - f_i)
$$

=
$$
m(N-1-J) + \sum_{i=1}^{J} f_i.
$$

: number of links (including ground), : number of joints, m: number of DOFs of a rigid body ($m = 3$ for planar mechanisms and $m = 6$ for spatial mechanisms), f_i : number of freedoms provided by joint i , c_i : number of constraints provided by joint i (f_i + $c_i = m$ for all *i*).

Note: This formula holds in "generic" cases, but it fails under certain configurations of the links and joints, such as when the joint constraints are not independent.

Examples: Number of DOFs

(Four-bar linkage) (Slider-crank mechanism)

Stony Brook
University

[C-Space Topology and Representation](#page-13-0)
0000000

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
OOOO

[Workspace and Task Space](#page-26-0)
000

Examples: Number of DOFs

*k*R robot **Five-bar linkage** Watt six-bar linkage

[C-Space Topology and Representation](#page-13-0)
0000000

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
OOOO

[Workspace and Task Space](#page-26-0)
000

Examples: Number of DOFs

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
OOOO

Examples: Number of DOFs

Configuration Space Topology and Representation

Topologies of 1D C-Space

Two spaces are **topologically equivalent** if one can be continuously deformed into the other without cutting or gluing.

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
0000

Topologies of 2D C-Space

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
OOOO

C-Space: More Examples

- A rigid body in the plane
- A PR robot arm
- A mobile robot with a 2R robot arm
- A rigid body in three dimensions

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
OOOO

C-Space: More Examples

• Hexrotor UAV with two 5-DOF arms (without and with arm joint limits)

C-Space Representation

To perform computations, we must have a numerical representation of the space, consisting of a set of real numbers.

Note: The topology of a space is a fundamental property of the space itself and is **independent of how we choose coordinates to represent points in the space**.

Explicit & Implicit Representations

A choice of n coordinates or parameters (in Euclidian space) to represent an n -dimensional C-space is called an **explicit representation** of the C-space.

Disadvantage: Possibility of existence of **Representation Singularities**.

Explicit & Implicit Representations

To overcome the Singularities of Representation:

Use an implicit representation which views the n -dimensional space as embedded in a Euclidean space of more than n dimensions.

Configuration and Velocity Constraints

ony Broe

Configuration and Velocity Constraints

For robots containing one or more closed loops, usually an **implicit representation** is more easily obtained than an explicit parametrization.

C-Space: one-dimensional space **Joint Space (J-Space)**: three-dimensional space

Note: For open-loop mechanisms dimension of C-Space is the same as dimension of J-Space.

Holonomic Constraints

For general robots containing one or more closed loops:

- Implicit representation of C-space (which is J-Space): $\quad \boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1,...,\theta_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- Constraint (loop-closure equations): \bm{q}

$$
\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(\theta_1, ..., \theta_n) \\ \vdots \\ g_k(\theta_1, ..., \theta_n) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k
$$

(a set of k independent equations, with $k \leq n$)

o Such constraints are known as **holonomic constraints**.

o These constraints reduce the dimension of implicit representation of C-space.

$$
\Rightarrow DOF = n - k
$$

[Constraints](#page-21-0)
OOOO

Pfaffian Constraints

Let's suppose that a closed-chain robot is in motion.

$$
\frac{d\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{dt}=\mathbf{0}
$$

 $\partial g_1(\bm{\theta})$ $\partial\theta_1$ … $\partial g_1(\bm{\theta})$ $\partial \theta_n$ \vdots \vdots \vdots $\partial g_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ $\partial\theta_1$ … $\partial g_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ $\partial \theta_n$ $\dot{\theta}_1$ $\ddot{\cdot}$ $\dot{\theta}_n$ $= 0$ $\partial {\bm g}({\bm \theta}$ $\partial \bm{\theta}$ $\dot{\theta} = 0$ $\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ $A(\theta)\dot{\theta} = 0$ Velocity constraints of this form are called **Pfaffian Constraints**. $A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$

❖ If a Pfaffian constraint is integrable, the equivalent configuration constraints are **Holonomic Constraints**.

Nonholonomic Constraints

 \cdot If a Pfaffian constraint of the form $A(\theta)\dot{\theta} = 0$ is nonintegrable to equivalent configuration constraints, it is called a **Nonholonomic Constraint**.

Example: An upright coin of radius r rolling (without slipping) on a plane.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = r\dot{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi \\ \sin \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (
$$

(no-slip rolling constraint)

Nonholonomic constraints reduce the dimension of the feasible velocities of the system but do not reduce the dimension of the C-space.

Workspace and Task Space

tony Broo

Workspace and Task Space

The **Workspace** of a manipulator is the total volume (typically in Euclidian space) swept out by the **end-effector** as the manipulator executes all possible motions. The workspace is constrained by the geometry of the manipulator as well as mechanical constraints on the joints. Workspace is independent of the task.

Two types of workspace:

- The **Reachable Workspace** is the entire set of points reachable by the manipulator.
- The **Dexterous Workspace** consists of those points that the manipulator can reach with an arbitrary orientation of the end-effector. The dexterous workspace is a subset of the reachable workspace.

Task Space (T-Space): The space of configurations of the **end-effector** as specified by the robot's task itself.

Examples:

- Task space of a robot plotting with a pen on a piece of paper:
- Task space of a robot manipulating a rigid body:
- Task space for operating a laser pointer:
- Task space for carrying a tray of glasses to keep them vertical:

- Two mechanisms with different C-spaces may have the same workspace: (a), (b)
- Two mechanisms with the same C-space may also have different workspaces: (a), (c)

Stony Broo

C-Space, Workspace, and Task Space: Some Examples

